

Hydrokinetic Energy Projects and Recreation

*A Guide to
Assessing Impacts*



[This page intentionally left blank].

Hydrokinetic Energy Projects and Recreation: A Guide to Assessing Impacts

December 2010

Edited by

Doug Whittaker and Bo Shelby

Prepared by the “Hydrokinetics and Recreation Workgroup”

**Rich Bowers, Joan Harn, Susan Rosebrough, Bo Shelby,
Rupak Thapaliya, Randy Thoreson, and Doug Whittaker**

Support provided by

**National Park Service Hydropower Assistance Program
Department of Energy
Hydropower Reform Coalition
Confluence Research and Consulting
Oregon State University**

Note and Disclaimer:

Several federal agency officials involved in hydrokinetic energy technology regulatory processes or assessing recreation impacts reviewed draft versions of this document and provided feedback on its accuracy, completeness, and clarity. Feedback provided by reviewers helped guide revisions, but final content is the responsibility of the authors and editors. The document provides general information about hydrokinetic devices, permitting processes, potential recreation impacts, and ways to study and mitigate those impacts, but applications of this information will vary for specific projects and situations. In addition, changes in statutes, rules, regulations, technologies, or understanding of recreation effect issues may occur after publication, thus requiring revisions or new interpretations of the document's content.

The preparation of this document was sponsored by the United States Government (Department of Energy [DOE] and National Park Service [NPS]) in cooperation with the Hydropower Reform Coalition (HRC), a coalition of more than 140 national, state and local conservation and recreation groups. The document attempts to provide complete and accurate information, but the United States Government (or any of its agencies), HRC, and Confluence Research and Consulting (CRC) do not make any warranty, express or implied, or assume any legal responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process described or contained in this document. The information in this document does not represent a complete record of hydrokinetic licensing and permitting requirements, recreation impacts from such technologies, or studies that might be conducted to assess and evaluate such impacts. This document is intended for general information purposes only and should not be construed as legal advice or a legal opinion. The views and opinions of authors in this document do not necessarily reflect those of the United States Government (or its agencies) or the Hydropower Reform Coalition.

Chapter Authorship and Acknowledgements

Lead chapter authors have been identified below to allow readers to follow-up on particular topics. The list includes authors of In Focus sections when those were written by someone other than lead chapter authors.

Chapter 1: Introduction	Doug Whittaker, Bo Shelby, Joan Harn
Chapter 2: Types of Hydrokinetic Projects	Rich Bowers and Joan Harn
Chapter 3: Types of Recreation in Hydrokinetic Settings	Rich Bowers and Randy Thoreson
In Focus: What If Area Recreation Uses Are Unknown?	Susan Rosebrough
Chapter 4: Applying Recreation Concepts	Doug Whittaker and Bo Shelby
Chapter 5: Potential Impacts on Recreation Opportunities	Doug Whittaker and Bo Shelby
In Focus: Assessing Cumulative Impacts on Recreation	Susan Rosebrough
Chapter 6: Types of Studies for Recreation Impacts	Doug Whittaker and Bo Shelby
In Focus: Recreation studies for the Mississippi River projects	Randy Thoreson
Chapter 7: Protection Strategies and Adaptive Management	Susan Rosebrough
Chapter 8: Getting Involved	Joan Harn, Rich Bowers, Rupak Thapaliya

The authors thank the Department of Energy, National Park Service and Hydropower Reform Coalition for supporting the project, with particular thanks to Robert Whitson and Alejandro Moreno of DOE for their assistance. We also thank Denise Authier (UEK Turbines), Jocelyn Brown-Saracino (DOE), John Beuttler (CSPA), George Detweiler (USCG), Tom Flanagan (NPS), Simon Geerlofs (DOE), Janet Hutzler (FERC), Mark Ivy (FERC), Ken Kimball (AMC), Gina Krump (DOE), Michael Linde (NPS), Ed Lovelace (Free Flow Power), Vicki McCusker (NPS), Kevin Mendik (NPS), Ann Miles (FERC), Kristen Murphy (FERC), Thomas O'Keefe (AW), Nicholas Palso (FERC), Brain Polagye (University of Washington), Kelly Powell (NPS), John Rudolph (DOI), John Ruskey (Quapaw Canoe Company), John Seebach (AR), Michael Spray (DOE), Randy Stanley (NPS), Peter Stauffer (Surfrider Foundation), Dave Steindorf (AW), Cassie Thomas (NPS), Ken Wilcox (Skookum Peak Consulting), Vince Yearick (FERC), and Ocean Renewable Energy Coalition (OREC) for thoughtful reviews of earlier drafts (or sections).